

The Second Law of Thermodynamics Across Bounce Cosmology Transitions

A Phase Space Perspective

Proof of Concept — Version 1.4

Charles RT Scott

Independent Researcher

sileamo@gmail.com

April 2026

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.19778386](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19778386)

Abstract

Cyclic cosmology faces Tolman’s entropy objection: if entropy always increases, each new cycle must begin with higher entropy than the last, making a truly repeating low-entropy cosmology impossible. This paper argues the apparent conflict is a category error. The second law applies within a fixed coarse-graining structure. Cosmological transitions — bounces, horizon formations, quantum geometry emergence — modify the effective accessibility of macrostates in phase space. Apparent entropy non-monotonicity arises only when comparing entropy across inequivalent phase-space regimes, not from any violation of the second law within either regime.

The central claim, framed in framework-independent terms: *entropy extrema occur at points where the effective number of accessible macrostates is minimized by the dynamical structure of the system, independent of whether spacetime is expanding, contracting, or static.* In Loop Quantum Cosmology this translates to a concrete structural argument: the minimum volume $v_{\min} = v(\rho_c)$ at the bounce, combined with the heuristic macrostate bound $N_{\text{macro}} \sim v(t)/\ell^3$ motivated by LQG discreteness, produces an entropy minimum at the bounce without free parameters. No entropy is destroyed. No second law is violated. The bounce is a thermodynamic bottleneck connecting two entropy-increasing semiclassical phases.

This argument applies to any bounce cosmology producing a minimum accessible volume combined with a fundamental length scale. LQC is the worked example; the principle is general. All formal constructions are proposals consistent with the spirit of LQC discreteness — formal derivation from the Hamiltonian constraint is the primary open problem. A companion paper (Scott 2026a, DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.19774221](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19774221)) develops one set of observational consequences within a specific bounce framework, but this paper stands independently of that application.

Contents

1 The Problem: Tolman’s Entropy Objection

3

2	The Category Error Argument	3
2.1	What the Second Law Requires	3
2.2	The Phase Transition Analogy	3
3	The Entropy Framework	4
3.1	The Coarse-Grained Entropy Bound	4
3.2	The Entropy Minimum from Two LQC Facts	5
3.3	The Double-Arrow Structure and Second Law	5
3.4	Generality: Beyond LQC	6
4	Comparison with Other Approaches	6
4.1	Connection to Main CBC Framework	6
5	Connection to LQG Microstate Counting	6
6	Implications for Cyclic Cosmology	7
6.1	Resolution of Tolman’s Objection	7
6.2	Comparison with Other Approaches	7
6.3	The Two-Paper Structure	8
7	Conclusion	9
A	Extended Entropy Functional and Connection to CBC Framework	9
A.1	Four-Sector Entropy Functional	9
A.2	Variational Principle and Initial Condition Selection	10

1 The Problem: Tolman’s Entropy Objection

Tolman (1931) showed that entropy increases in each cycle of a bouncing cosmology. If entropy always increases, each new cycle starts with higher entropy than the last. Over many cycles this leads either to progressively longer cycles tending toward heat death, or requires some external mechanism to reset entropy between cycles. Neither option is satisfying for a truly cyclic system of bounce-born regions.

Loop Quantum Cosmology resolves the Big Bang singularity by replacing it with a quantum bounce at the Planck density ρ_c . The APS effective Friedmann equation [1] gives:

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}\right) \quad (1)$$

At $\rho = \rho_c \approx 0.41 \rho_{\text{Planck}}$, $H = 0$ and collapse reverses. The singularity is avoided and physics remains well-defined throughout.

But singularity resolution is not entropy resolution. Bojowald [4] has stated explicitly that LQC does not claim to reset entropy across the bounce — entropy maps through rather than resets. No published LQC paper claims to resolve Tolman’s objection. This paper proposes a resolution.

2 The Category Error Argument

2.1 What the Second Law Requires

The second law of thermodynamics requires three things: a well-defined phase space, a well-defined entropy functional on that phase space, and a well-defined coarse-graining map that converts microstate information into macrostate entropy. In semiclassical cosmology all three exist. The phase space is the classical FLRW phase space (a, π_a) . The coarse-graining map projects microphysical degrees of freedom onto thermodynamic variables.

At Planck density ρ_c in the LQC regime, smooth semiclassical spacetime geometry breaks down and is replaced by the discrete quantum geometry of Loop Quantum Gravity. The area operator has a discrete spectrum:

$$A_j = 8\pi\gamma\ell_P^2 \sqrt{j(j+1)} \quad (2)$$

with minimum nonzero eigenvalue $\Delta = 4\sqrt{3}\pi\gamma\ell_P^2$ (the area gap). In this regime the effective number of accessible macrostates changes: the set of distinguishable configurations is now bounded by the finite discrete spectrum of geometric operators rather than continuous classical geometry. Importantly, the underlying quantum state space and Hamiltonian evolution are unchanged — what changes is the effective accessibility structure within that fixed phase space.

2.2 The Phase Transition Analogy

This situation is structurally analogous to a thermodynamic phase transition. When water freezes, the relevant degrees of freedom change — the liquid phase has translational degrees of freedom that the solid phase does not. Applying liquid-phase thermodynamics across the freezing transition and being surprised that ice has different entropy properties is a category error. The second law is satisfied within each phase.

The LQC bounce is an analogous transition point. Below ρ_c the relevant degrees of freedom are semiclassical. Near ρ_c the discrete quantum geometry of LQG suppresses the number of distinguishable macrostates — not by changing the underlying Hilbert space or evolution law, but by dynamically restricting the accessible configuration space within the same fixed phase space. The second law holds within each semiclassical phase. The apparent conflict at the bounce arises from comparing entropy across two regimes with different effective accessibility structures.

Applying the second law of thermodynamics across the LQC bounce using the pre-bounce semiclassical phase space is a category error, analogous to applying liquid-phase thermodynamics to ice. The second law holds within each semiclassical phase. The bounce is a point where the effective number of accessible macrostates reaches a dynamical minimum within the fixed quantum-gravity phase space. Entropy is not violated. The entropy accounting changes because the accessible configuration space changes — not because any law is suspended.

3 The Entropy Framework

The second law applies within a fixed coarse-graining structure. Entropy is a functional of (state space, coarse-graining map, accessibility structure) — not geometry alone. Apparent entropy non-monotonicity across cosmological transitions arises when the effective dimension of accessible macrostate space changes dynamically. In LQC, volume serves as a proxy for this accessibility — but volume is representation-dependent, not fundamental. Any bounce cosmology that dynamically suppresses accessible microstates at the transition point produces the same qualitative result. LQC is the worked example here; the principle is general.

The following entropy framework is a proposal consistent with the spirit of LQC discreteness. It is not a derived result from the LQC Hamiltonian or Hilbert space. Formal derivation is the primary open problem identified in Section 6.3.

3.1 The Coarse-Grained Entropy Bound

At coarse-graining resolution $\ell \sim \sqrt{\Delta}$ set by the LQC area gap, the number of geometrically distinguishable macrostates in a region of volume $v(t)$ scales as:

$$N_{\text{macro}}(t; \ell) \sim \frac{v(t)}{\ell^3}, \quad \ell \sim \sqrt{\Delta} \quad (3)$$

This is a heuristic motivated by LQG spin-network states having a minimum area eigenvalue — it is not a derived result from LQC dynamics. The total entropy bound is then:

$$S_{\text{LQC}}(t; \ell) \lesssim \ln\left(\frac{v(t)}{\ell^3}\right) \quad (4)$$

This is the central object: an entropy bound that depends on volume, with volume set by the LQC dynamics. Because LQC gives a minimum volume at the bounce, the entropy bound has a minimum at the bounce. This is the entire argument. The full entropy functional including Weyl curvature and shear contributions is developed in Appendix A and connects this framework to the companion CBC paper.

3.2 The Entropy Minimum from Two LQC Facts

Fact 1 (Established LQC). Effective LQC dynamics impose a minimum volume at the bounce. This is a well-established result: when $H = 0$ at $\rho = \rho_c$, the volume evolution reverses at:

$$v_{\min} = v(\rho_c) \quad (5)$$

Fact 2 (Motivated by LQG). The area gap Δ sets a minimum length scale $\ell \sim \sqrt{\Delta}$. The macrostate count $N_{\text{macro}} \sim v/\ell^3$ from Eq. (3) is a heuristic bound motivated by this discreteness, not a derived result from the LQC Hilbert space.

Result. Since $S_{\text{LQC}} \lesssim \ln(v/\ell^3)$ is monotonically increasing in v , and LQC imposes $v \geq v_{\min}$, the entropy bound reaches its minimum at the bounce. No free parameters. No Gaussian suppression term. The minimum comes directly from the LQC volume spectrum.

At the bounce $H = 0$ and the entropy production rate $\Pi_{\text{cg}} \rightarrow 0$ is asserted on structural grounds: Liouville preservation protects fine-grained entropy, and the suppression of coarse-grained entropy production near minimum volume is physically motivated. This claim is not yet formally derived — it is identified as open problem 2 in Section 6.3.

Two facts plus one heuristic. Minimum volume is established LQC. The macrostate counting motivated by LQG discreteness is a plausible but underived assumption. Together they suggest an entropy minimum at the bounce — but this remains a structural argument, not a theorem. Deriving it formally is the primary open problem.

3.3 The Double-Arrow Structure and Second Law

Because LQC effective dynamics are Hamiltonian and Liouville-preserving, entropy production arises only from the coarse-graining map. The entropy continuity equation is:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\Gamma} \cdot (S v_{\Gamma}) = \Pi_{\text{cg}} \quad (6)$$

where $v_{\Gamma} = \{\Gamma, H_{\text{eff}}\}$ is the Hamiltonian phase-space velocity and Π_{cg} is the coarse-graining production term, the only source of entropy.

Far from the bounce: volume is large, many macrostates are accessible, $\Pi_{\text{cg}} > 0$ and entropy production proceeds normally. Near the bounce: volume approaches its minimum, accessible macrostates approach their minimum, Π_{cg} is suppressed by the volume bound. At the bounce: $\Pi_{\text{cg}} \rightarrow 0$ is the structural claim — physically motivated but not yet derived from the LQC phase-space structure (open problem 2).

This produces a **double-arrow** entropy structure:

- Entropy increases toward the bounce in the contracting phase.
- Entropy reaches a minimum at the bounce.
- Entropy increases away from the bounce in the expanding phase.

The second law is satisfied within each semiclassical phase. The bounce is a thermodynamic bottleneck connecting two entropy-increasing regimes.

3.4 Generality: Beyond LQC

This argument applies to any bounce cosmology that produces two things together: a minimum volume at the bounce, and a fundamental length scale from quantum gravity. Both LQC and string cosmology bounces produce minimum volumes. Both LQG and string theory provide fundamental length scales. Penrose’s CCC produces an effective entropy reset through a different mechanism at a different scale. The Baum-Frampton model produces near-empty states before the bounce. In each case the argument takes the same form: entropy counts arrangements, arrangements are bounded by the ratio of volume to minimum cell size, minimum volume gives minimum entropy. The category error argument applies regardless of which bounce model is preferred.

4 Comparison with Other Approaches

Penrose’s Conformal Cyclic Cosmology resolves the entropy problem through conformal rescaling at future null infinity. The two approaches are complementary: CCC handles entropy through a different mechanism at a different scale and does not use LQC.

Bojowald’s position is that entropy maps through the LQC bounce rather than being reset. This is fully consistent with our framework: the entropy minimum is a dynamical stationary point, not a discontinuity or reset. Bojowald focuses on the continuity of the underlying quantum evolution; this paper focuses on the dynamical suppression of accessible macrostates near ρ_c within that same continuous evolution. These are complementary perspectives on the same physics.

Steinhardt-Turok ekpyrotic models face the same entropy problem. Their solutions rely on entropy dilution through large expansion factors — a different mechanism operating in a different theoretical framework.

4.1 Connection to Main CBC Framework

This paper is a companion to Scott (2026a, DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.19774221](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19774221)). In that framework the bounce-born region has an estimated comoving radius of 15–50 Gpc, comparable to our observable sky. The entropy minimum at the bounce applies to this specific bounded causal domain. The post-bounce low-entropy state is a structural consequence of the quantum geometry transition for each bounce-generated region individually, not a fine-tuned initial condition for infinite spacetime. The two-paper dependency is one-directional: this paper derives the entropy minimum; the companion paper propagates the resulting initial conditions to CMB observables through the Weyl tidal mechanism.

5 Connection to LQG Microstate Counting

LQG provides a microscopic derivation of black hole entropy through counting spin-network states at the horizon. Each puncture of a spin-network edge on the horizon contributes a discrete area quantum A_j from Eq. (2). Counting configurations compatible

with a fixed total area A gives the Bekenstein-Hawking formula [2]:

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{A}{4G\hbar} \quad (7)$$

The cosmological bounce presents a different geometry: a spacelike hypersurface at ρ_c rather than a horizon. Applying spin-network microstate counting to the cosmological bounce is speculative and not present in published LQC literature. It is presented here as a structural analogy.

If an effective occupation number distribution $n_j(t)$ for spin-network excitations is introduced, the entropy takes the Boltzmann form:

$$S \sim - \sum_j n_j \ln n_j \quad (8)$$

In the semiclassical equilibrium limit $n_j \sim \exp(-\beta A_j)$ this reduces to $S \sim A/4G\hbar$, recovering the Bekenstein-Hawking formula. This is a structural analogy with LQG black hole entropy, not a derivation from LQC.

The spin-network entropy counting argument is a structural analogy motivated by the established LQG black hole entropy derivation. The cosmological application requires: explicit minisuperspace calculation, justification of applying local spin-network dynamics to the globally homogeneous bounce, and derivation of the detailed balance condition at ρ_c from full spin-foam dynamics. These are open problems.

6 Implications for Cyclic Cosmology

6.1 Resolution of Tolman's Objection

Tolman's objection assumes entropy feeds directly from one cycle to the next with no mechanism for reset. The framework proposed here provides a specific mechanism. The entropy accumulated in the contracting phase reaches a dynamical minimum at the bounce — not because entropy is destroyed, but because the effective number of accessible macrostates reaches a minimum at the quantum geometry transition. The underlying phase space and Hamiltonian are unchanged. What changes is the accessibility structure: near ρ_c , discrete quantum geometry suppresses the number of distinguishable configurations. The post-bounce expanding phase begins in a low-entropy configuration not because entropy was reset externally but because the accessibility minimum at ρ_c is a structural feature of the dynamics.

The second law is not violated. Entropy increases monotonically within the contracting phase toward the bounce, and monotonically within the expanding phase away from it. The bounce is the stationary point between these two monotonically increasing regimes. The second law, formulated within each semiclassical phase, is satisfied throughout.

6.2 Comparison with Other Approaches

Penrose's Conformal Cyclic Cosmology resolves the entropy problem through conformal rescaling at future null infinity. The two approaches are complementary: CCC handles entropy through a different mechanism at a different scale and does not use LQC.

Bojowald’s position is that entropy maps through the LQC bounce rather than being reset. This is consistent with our framework: mapping entropy through the bounce is consistent with the entropy minimum being a stationary point rather than a discontinuity. The difference is interpretational: Bojowald focuses on continuity; this paper focuses on the suppression of entropy production at the bounce due to the changed coarse-graining map. These are complementary perspectives.

Steinhardt-Turok ekpyrotic models face the same entropy problem. Their solutions rely on entropy dilution through large expansion factors — a different mechanism operating in a different theoretical framework.

6.3 The Two-Paper Structure

This paper is a companion to Scott (2026a, DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.19774221](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19774221)). The entropy framework presented here has a precise two-paper structure. This paper proposes a structural argument for entropy-gradient-constrained initial conditions $\delta\rho_k^{(\text{init})} \sim \delta S/\delta\Phi_k$ motivated by the entropy minimum at the bounce. The companion CBC paper propagates those initial conditions through the Weyl tidal mechanism to CMB observables. The connection is one-directional: the entropy paper motivates the source; the CBC paper computes the consequences. The formal derivation of this connection is identified as an open problem in both papers.

In the CBC framework the bounce-born region has an estimated comoving radius of 15–50 Gpc, comparable to our observable sky. The entropy minimum at the bounce applies to this specific bounded causal domain. The post-bounce low-entropy state is a structural consequence of the quantum geometry transition for each bounce-generated region individually, not a fine-tuned initial condition for the entire infinite spacetime.

1. **Explicit derivation of the coarse-graining map \mathcal{C}_ℓ from LQC spin-network embeddings in minisuperspace.** Once \mathcal{C}_ℓ is established, the entropy minimum follows as a theorem from Eqs. (3)–(5) rather than as a structural argument. This is the highest-priority derivation.
2. **Derivation of the coarse-graining production term Π_{cg} from the LQC phase-space structure.** The claim that $\Pi_{\text{cg}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow \rho_c$ requires showing explicitly how the effective coarse-graining map changes as discrete quantum geometry replaces smooth classical geometry.
3. **Extension of spin-network microstate counting from black hole horizons to the cosmological bounce hypersurface.** The isolated horizon framework used for black hole entropy counting is not directly applicable to the globally homogeneous bounce. A dedicated calculation in a symmetric-reduced spin-foam or minisuperspace model is needed.
4. **Comparison with the covariant entropy bound in LQC.** Ashtekar et al. have shown Bousso’s bound is respected in effective LQC through the bounce. The proposed entropy framework must be consistent with this bound in all density regimes.
5. **Numerical verification in exactly solvable LQC models.** The solvable LQC model provides a controlled setting for explicit entropy evolution computation.

The derivations above are tractable research questions within the existing LQC and LQG framework. Researchers working on LQC effective thermodynamics, spin-foam models, and LQG black hole entropy are invited to examine whether the proposed entropy framework can be derived from first principles. Contact: sileamo@gmail.com

7 Conclusion

The apparent conflict between the second law of thermodynamics and cyclic Loop Quantum Cosmology arises from a category error: applying semiclassical thermodynamics across a quantum geometry transition where the relevant phase space structure does not apply. At the LQC critical density ρ_c , discrete quantum geometry constrains the accessible phase space, suppresses the coarse-graining production term, and produces a thermodynamic entropy minimum that is a structural consequence of the quantum geometry transition rather than a violation of the second law.

The entropy minimum is motivated by two elements: the minimum volume $v_{\min} = v(\rho_c)$ from the modified Friedmann equation, which is an established LQC result, and the heuristic macrostate counting $N_{\text{macro}} \sim v(t)/\ell^3$ motivated by LQG discreteness but not yet derived from the LQC Hilbert space. Together they suggest a thermodynamic bottleneck at the bounce. The double-arrow entropy structure satisfies the second law within each semiclassical phase. The bounce is a thermodynamic bottleneck, not a violation.

This argument generalizes beyond LQC to any bounce cosmology combining minimum volume with a fundamental length scale. All formal constructions are proposals inspired by LQG discreteness. The formal derivations required to establish these proposals are identified precisely.

A Extended Entropy Functional and Connection to CBC Framework

This appendix develops the full entropy functional connecting this paper to the companion CBC framework (Scott 2026a). The central result of this paper — the entropy minimum from two LQC facts — does not require this appendix.

A.1 Four-Sector Entropy Functional

The full coarse-grained entropy functional over gravitational and matter degrees of freedom:

$$S[f, g_{\mu\nu}, C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \sigma_{ij}] = S_{\text{matter}} + S_{\text{geometry}} + S_{\text{Weyl}} + S_{\text{shear}} \quad (9)$$

where: $S_{\text{matter}} = - \int f \ln f d^3x d^3p$ (Boltzmann-Gibbs); S_{geometry} is the coarse-grained volume entropy developed in Section 3; $S_{\text{Weyl}} \propto \int C^2 dV$ (Weyl curvature entropy, encoding gravitational microstate accessibility); $S_{\text{shear}} \propto \int \sigma_{ij} \sigma^{ij} dV$ (anisotropic structure, the thermodynamic dual of ρ_σ in the companion CBC paper).

A.2 Variational Principle and Initial Condition Selection

The variational principle below is schematic. The functional space, variational variables, and boundary conditions are not yet fully specified. This is physics-motivated notation pointing at a real open problem, not a complete derivation. The explicit form requires the coarse-graining map \mathcal{C}_ℓ identified as open problem 1.

The variational principle on the full functional, subject to the LQC bounce constraint:

$$\delta S = 0 \quad \text{subject to} \quad H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}\right) \quad (10)$$

Because entropy production vanishes at the bounce ($\Pi_{\text{cg}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow \rho_c$), perturbation modes satisfy entropy-gradient constrained initial conditions:

$$\delta \rho_k^{(\text{init})} \sim \frac{\delta S}{\delta \Phi_k} \quad (11)$$

The companion CBC paper (Scott 2026a) takes these as inputs and propagates them through the Weyl tidal mechanism to produce CMB C_ℓ predictions. The two-paper dependency is one-directional and non-circular.

References

References

- [1] Ashtekar, A., Pawłowski, T., & Singh, P. (2006). Quantum nature of the big bang: Improved dynamics. *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 084003. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.084003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.084003)
- [2] Ashtekar, A., Baez, J., Corichi, A., & Krasnov, K. (1998). Quantum geometry and black hole entropy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 904–907. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.904](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.904)
- [3] Ashtekar, A., & Wilson-Ewing, E. (2009). Loop quantum cosmology of Bianchi type I models. *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 083535. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.083535](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.083535)
- [4] Bojowald, M. (2008). Loop quantum cosmology. *Living Rev. Relativ.* **11**, 4. [doi:10.12942/lrr-2008-4](https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2008-4)
- [5] Bousso, R. (2002). The holographic principle. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 825–874. [doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.74.825](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.74.825)
- [6] Corichi, A., & Gallegos, A. (2025). Entropy in loop quantum cosmology. arXiv preprint. [arxiv:2505.09055](https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.09055)
- [7] Penrose, R. (2010). *Cycles of Time: An Extraordinary New View of the Universe*. Bodley Head, London. ISBN: 978-0-224-08036-1.
- [8] Schwarz, D.J., et al. (2016). CMB anomalies after Planck. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **33**, 184001. [doi:10.1088/0264-9381/33/18/184001](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/18/184001)

- [9] Scott, C.R.T. (2026a). Anisotropic perturbation extension of bounce cosmology with large-scale gravitational sourcing and inter-regional dynamics. Zenodo. [doi:10.5281/zenodo.19774221](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19774221)
- [10] Tolman, R.C. (1931). On the problem of the entropy of the universe as a whole. *Phys. Rev.* **37**, 1639–1660. [doi:10.1103/PhysRev.37.1639](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.37.1639)